arXiv:1210.7460v1 [math.AG] 28 Oct 2012

Addendum to: Milne, Values of zeta functions of varieties over finite fields, Amer. J. Math. 108, (1986), 297-360.

J. S. Milne

October 30, 2012

Abstract

The original article expressed the special values of the zeta function of a variety over a finite field in terms of the $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-cohomology of the variety. As the article was being completed, Lichtenbaum conjectured the existence of certain motivic cohomology groups. Progress on his conjecture allows one to give a beautiful restatement of the main theorem of the article in terms of $\mathbb{Z}$-cohomology groups.

As the article was being completed,[1] Lichtenbaum conjectured that there should exist complexes $\mathbb{Z}(r)$ of sheaves for the étale topology on a smooth variety X extending the sequence $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{G}_m[-1]$, ... (see Afterthought 10.7). It is now (2009)[2] generally accepted that, for smooth varieties, $\mathbb{Z}(r)$ should be taken to be the complex of étale sheaves given by Bloch's higher Chow groups[3] (see for example the survey article Geisser 2005). The main theorem of my paper (Theorem 0.1) has a beautiful restatement in terms of the Weil-étale cohomology of the complex $\mathbb{Z}(r)$, which I now explain.

Review of abelian groups

In this subsection, we review some elementary results on abelian groups.

LEMMA 1. *Let M be a subgroup of an abelian group N. If M is bounded (i.e., $nM = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$) and pure (i.e., $M \cap nN = nM$ for all $n \geq 1$), then M is a direct summand of N.*

PROOF. Kaplansky 1954, Theorem 7, p18, or Fuchs 1970, 27.5. □

LEMMA 2. *Let M be a subgroup of N, and let l^n be a prime power. If $M \cap l^n N = 0$ and M is maximal among subgroups with this property, then M is pure (hence a direct summand of N).*

PROOF. As $l^n M \subset M \cap l^n N = 0$, M is a bounded l-group. To prove that it is pure, one shows by induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that $M \cap l^k N \subset l^k M$. See Fuchs 1970, 27.7. □

Every abelian group M contains a largest divisible subgroup M_{div}, which is (obviously) contained in the first Ulm subgroup $U(M) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{n\geq 1} nM$ of M.

---

[1] It was submitted in September 1983.

[2] This addendum was posted on my website in 2009.

[3] There is an alternative complex defined by Suslin and Voevodsky, but Voevodsky (2002) proves (but doesn't state) that the two complexes are canonically isomorphic on smooth varieties.

PROPOSITION 3. *If M/nM is finite for all $n \geq 1$, then $U(M) = M_{\mathrm{div}}$.*

PROOF. (Cf. Milne 1988, 3.3) If $U(M)$ is not divisible, then there exists an $x \in U(M)$ and a prime l such that x is not divisible by l in $U(M)$. For each $n \geq 1$, there exists an element x_n of M such that $l^n x_n = x$ (because $x \in U(M)$), and $l^{n-1}x_n \notin U(M)$ (because $x \notin lU(M)$). Therefore, x_n has order exactly l^n in $M/U(M)$, and so $M/U(M)$ contains finite subgroups S with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_l} S^{(l)}$ arbitrarily large.

We claim that $U(M/U(M)) = 0$. Let x be an element of M that becomes divisible by n in $M/U(M)$. Then there exists a $y \in M$ such that $ny - x \in U(M)$, and so $ny - x = ny'$ for some $y' \in M$. Now $x = n(y - y')$, and so x is divisible by n in M. This proves the claim.

Let S be a finite subgroup of $M/U(M)$ of l-power order. As $U(M/U(M)) = 0$ and S is finite, there exists an n such that $S \cap l^n(M/U(M)) = 0$. By Zorn's lemma, there exists a subgroup N of $M/U(M)$ that is maximal among the subgroups satisfying (a) $N \supset S$ and (b) $N \cap l^n(M/U(M)) = 0$. Moreover, N is maximal with respect to (b) alone. Therefore N is a direct summand of $M/U(M)$ (Lemma 2), and so

$$N^{(l)} \hookrightarrow (M/U(M))^{(l)} \simeq M^{(l)}.$$

Hence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_l} M^{(l)} \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_l} N^{(l)} \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_l} S^{(l)},$$

which contradicts the hypothesis. □

COROLLARY 4. *If $TM = 0$ and all quotients M/nM are finite, then $U(M)$ is uniquely divisible (= divisible and torsion-free=a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space).*

PROOF. The first condition implies that M_{div} is torsion-free, and the second that $U(M) = M_{\mathrm{div}}$. □

For an abelian group M, we let M_l denote the completion of M with respect to the l-adic topology. Any continuous homomorphism from M into a complete separated group factors uniquely through M_l. In particular, the quotient maps $M \to M/l^n M$ extend to homomorphisms $M_l \to M/l^n M$, and these induce an isomorphism $M_l \to \varprojlim_n M/l^n M$. The kernel of $M \to M_l$ is $\bigcap_n l^n M$. See Fuchs 1970, §13.

LEMMA 5. *Let N be a torsion-free abelian group such that N/lN is finite. The l-adic completion $N_l = \varprojlim_n N/l^n N$ of N is a free finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module.*

PROOF. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_r$ be elements of N that form a basis for N/lN. Then

$$N = \sum \mathbb{Z} y_i + lN = \sum \mathbb{Z} y_i + l(\sum \mathbb{Z} y_i + lN) = \cdots = \sum \mathbb{Z} y_i + l^n N,$$

and so $y_1, \ldots, y_r$ generate $N/l^n N$. As $N/l^n N$ has order l^{nr}, it is in fact a free $\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}$-module with basis $\{y_1, \ldots, y_r\}$. Let $a \in N_l$, say $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $a_n \in N/l^{n+1}N$. Then

$$a_n = c_{n,1} y_1 + \cdots + c_{n,r} y_r$$

for some $c_{n,i} \in \mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$. As a_n maps to a_{n-1} in $N/l^n N$ and the $c_{n,i}$ are unique, $c_{n,i}$ maps to $c_{n-1,i}$ in $\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $(c_{n,i})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Z}_l$, and it follows that $\{y_1, \ldots, y_r\}$ is a basis for N_l as a $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module. □

PROPOSITION 6. *Let M and N be abelian groups and let*

$$\phi : M \times N \to \mathbb{Z}$$

be a bi-additive map. Assume that, for some prime l, $\bigcap_n l^n M = 0$ and N/lN is finite. If the pairing

$$\phi_l : M_l \times N_l \to \mathbb{Z}_l$$

defined by ϕ on the l-adic completions of M and N has trivial left kernel, then M is finitely generated.

PROOF. As $\bigcap_n l^n M = 0$, the map $M \to M_l$ is injective. Choose elements $y_1, \ldots, y_r$ of N that form a basis for N/lN. According to the proof of (5), their images form a basis for N_l as a $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module. Consider the map

$$x \mapsto (\phi(x,y_1), \ldots, \phi(x,y_r)) : M \to \mathbb{Z}^r.$$

If x is in the kernel of this map, then $\phi_l(x,y) = 0$ for all $y \in N_l$, and so $x = 0$. Therefore M injects into $\mathbb{Z}^r$, which shows that it is free and finitely generated. □

Values of zeta functions

For reference, we state the following conjectures (X is a smooth projective variety over a finite field k).

$T^r(X)$ (Tate conjecture): The order of the pole of the zeta function $Z(X,t)$ at $t = q^{-r}$ is equal to the rank ρ_r of the group of numerical equivalence classes of algebraic cycles of codimension r on X.

$T^r(X,l)$ (l-Tate conjecture): The map $\mathrm{CH}^r(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \to H^{2r}(\bar{X}_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(r))^\Gamma$ is surjective.

$S^r(X,l)$ (semisimplicity at 1): The map $H^{2r}(\bar{X}_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(r))^\Gamma \to H^{2r}(\bar{X}_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(r))_\Gamma$ induced by the identity map is bijective.

The statement $T^r(X)$ is equivalent to the conjunction of the statements $T^r(X,l)$, $T^{d-r}(X,l)$, and $S^r(X,l)$ for one (or all) l (see Tate 1994, 2.9).

Let X be a variety over a finite field k. To give a sheaf on $X_{\text{ét}}$ is the same as giving a sheaf on $\bar{X}_{\text{ét}}$ together with a continuous action of Γ. Let Γ_0 be the subgroup of Γ generated by the Frobenius element (so $\Gamma_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}$). The Weil-étale topology is defined so that to give a sheaf on $X_{\text{Wét}}$ is the same as giving a sheaf on $\bar{X}_{\text{ét}}$ together with an action of Γ_0 (Lichtenbaum 2005). For example, for $X = \mathbb{A}^0$, the sheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$ are the discrete Γ-modules, and the sheaves on $X_{\text{Wét}}$ are the Γ_0-modules. For the Weil-étale topology, the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence becomes

$$H^i(\Gamma_0, H^j(\bar{X}_{\text{ét}}, F)) \implies H^{i+j}(X_{\text{Wét}}, F). \tag{1}$$

Since[4]

$$H^i(\Gamma_0, M) = M^{\Gamma_0},\ M_{\Gamma_0},\ 0,\ 0,\ \ldots \text{ for } i = 0,1,2,3,\ldots, \tag{2}$$

this gives exact sequences

$$0 \to H^{i-1}(\bar{X}_{\text{ét}}, F)_{\Gamma_0} \to H^i(X_{\text{Wét}}, F) \to H^i(\bar{X}_{\text{ét}}, F)^{\Gamma_0} \to 0, \quad \text{all } i \geq 0.$$

---

[4] The sequence $0 \to I \to \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_0] \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$ is a free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ regarded as Γ_0-module with trivial action.

If F is a sheaf on $X_{\text{ét}}$ such that the groups $H^j(\bar{X}_{\text{ét}},F)$ are torsion, then the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for the étale topology gives exact sequences

$$0 \to H^{i-1}(\bar{X}_{\text{ét}},F)_{\Gamma} \to H^i(X_{\text{ét}},F) \to H^i(\bar{X}_{\text{ét}},F)^{\Gamma} \to 0, \quad \text{all } i \geq 0.$$

Thus, for such a sheaf F, the canonical maps $H^i(X_{\text{ét}},F) \to H^i(X_{\text{Wét}},F)$ are isomorphisms.

There is an obvious element in $H^1(\text{Spec}(k)_{\text{Wét}},\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^1(\Gamma_0,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \text{Hom}(\Gamma_0,\mathbb{Z})$, which defines, by cup-product, canonical maps

$$e^i\colon H^i(X_{\text{Wét}},\mathbb{Z}(r)) \to H^{i+1}(X_{\text{Wét}},\mathbb{Z}(r)),$$

and we let

$$\chi(X_{\text{Wét}},\mathbb{Z}(r)) = \prod\nolimits_{i\neq 2r,2r+1}[H^i(X_{\text{Wét}},\mathbb{Z}(r))]^{(-1)^i} z(e^{2r})$$

when all terms are defined and finite. Let

$$\chi(X,\mathcal{O}_X,r) = \sum\nolimits_{\substack{0\leq i\leq r\\ 0\leq j\leq d}} (-1)^{i+j}\dim H^j(X,\Omega^i)$$

where $d = \dim X$.

For an abelian group M, let $M' = M/U(M)$, and let $\chi'(X_{\text{Wét}},\mathbb{Z}(r))$ equal $\chi(X_{\text{Wét}},\mathbb{Z}(r))$ but with each group $H^i(X_{\text{Wét}},\mathbb{Z}(r))$ replaced by $H^i(X_{\text{Wét}},\mathbb{Z}(r))'$.

THEOREM 7. *Let X be a smooth projective variety over a finite field. If $T^r(X)$ holds for some integer $r \geq 0$, then $\chi'(X_{\text{Wét}},\mathbb{Z}(r))$ is defined, and*

$$\zeta(X,s) \sim \pm\chi'(X_{\text{Wét}},\mathbb{Z}(r)) \cdot q^{\chi(X,\mathcal{O}_X,r)} \cdot (1-q^{r-s})^{-\rho_r} \text{ as } s \to r. \tag{3}$$

In particular, the groups $H^i(X_{\text{Wét}},\mathbb{Z}(r))'$ are finite for $i \neq 2r, 2r+1$. For $i = 2r, 2r+1$, they are finitely generated. For all i, $U(H^i(X_{\text{Wét}},\mathbb{Z}(r)))$ is uniquely divisible.

PROOF. We begin with a brief review of Milne 1986. Let $\nu_s(r)$ be the sheaf of logarithmic de Rham-Witt differentials on $X_{\text{ét}}$ killed by p^s (ibid. p307). For an integer $n = n_0p^s$ with $\gcd(p,n_0) = 1$,

$$H^i(X_{\text{ét}},(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})(r)) \overset{\text{def}}{=} H^i(X_{\text{ét}},\mu_{n_0}^{\otimes r}) \times H^{i-r}(X_{\text{ét}},\nu_s(r)), \text{ and}$$
$$H^i(X_{\text{ét}},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r)) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \varprojlim\nolimits_n H^i(X_{\text{ét}},(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})(r))$$

(ibid. p309). There is an obvious element in $H^1(\text{Spec}(k)_{\text{ét}},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \simeq H^1(\Gamma,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\Gamma,\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$, which defines, by cup-product, canonical maps $\varepsilon^i\colon H^i(X_{\text{ét}},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r)) \to H^{i+1}(X_{\text{ét}},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r))$, and

$$\chi(X,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r)) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \prod\nolimits_{i\neq 2r,2r+1}[H^i(X_{\text{ét}},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r))]^{(-1)^i} z(\varepsilon^{2r})$$

when all terms are defined and finite (ibid. p298). Theorem 0.1 (ibid. p298) states that $\chi(X,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r))$ is defined if and only if $S^r(X,l)$ holds for all l, in which case

$$\zeta(X,s) \sim \pm\chi(X,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r)) \cdot q^{\chi(X,\mathcal{O}_X,r)} \cdot (1-q^{r-s})^{-\rho_r} \text{ as } s \to r. \tag{4}$$

In particular, if $S^r(X,l)$ holds for all l, then the groups $H^i(X_{\text{ét}},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r))$ are finite for all $i \neq 2r$, $2r+1$.

The first property of $\mathbb{Z}(r)$ that we shall need is the following.[5]

---

[5] For an abelian group M, it is customary to define $M(r)$ to be $\mathbb{Z}(r) \otimes M$ (Geisser 2005, p196). When $M = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, this gives another definition of the groups $H^i(X_{\text{ét}},(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})(r))$ which happily, because of property (A), coincides with the previous definition.

(A)$_{n_0}$. For any integer n_0 prime to the characteristic of k, the cycle class map

$$\left(\mathbb{Z}(r) \xrightarrow{n_0} \mathbb{Z}(r)\right) \to \mu_{n_0}^{\otimes r}[0]$$

is a quasi-isomorphism (Geisser and Levine 2001, 1.5).

(A)$_p$. For any integer $s \geq 1$, the cycle class map

$$\left(\mathbb{Z}(r) \xrightarrow{p^s} \mathbb{Z}(r)\right) \to \nu_s(r)[-r-1]$$

is a quasi-isomorphism (Geisser and Levine 2000, Theorem 15).

For each $n \geq 1$ and $i \geq 0$, (A) gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^i(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))^{(n)} \to H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})(r)) \to H^{i+1}(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))_n \to 0.$$

in which the middle term is finite. On passing to the inverse limit, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^i(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))\hat{} \to H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r)) \to TH^{i+1}(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r)) \to 0 \tag{5}$$

in which the middle term is finite for $i \neq 2r, 2r+1$. As $TH^{i+1}(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))$ is torsion-free, it must be zero for $i \neq 2r, 2r+1$. In other words, $TH^i(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r)) = 0$ for $i \neq 2r+1, 2r+2$.

So far we have only used conjecture $S^r(X,l)$ (all l) and property (A) of $\mathbb{Z}(r)$. To continue, we need to use $T^r(X,l)$ (all l) and the following property of $\mathbb{Z}(r)$.

> (B) There exists a cycle class map $\mathrm{CH}^r(X) \to H^{2r}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))$ compatible (via (A)) with the cycle class map into $H^{2r}(X_{\text{ét}}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r))$.

The l-Tate conjecture $T^r(X,l)$ for all l implies that the cokernel of the map $\mathrm{CH}^r(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \to H^{2r}(X_{\text{ét}}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r))$ is torsion. As this map factors through $H^{2r}(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))\hat{}$, it follows that $TH^{2r+1}(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r)) = 0$ and $H^{2r}(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))\hat{} \simeq H^{2r}(X_{\text{ét}}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r))$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{2r}(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))\hat{} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\widehat{e^{2r}}"] & H^{2r}(X_{\text{ét}}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r)) \arrow[d, "\varepsilon^{2r}"] \\
H^{2r+1}(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))\hat{} \arrow[r] & H^{2r+1}(X_{\text{ét}}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r)).
\end{tikzcd}$$

As ε^{2r} has finite cokernel, so does the bottom arrow, and so $TH^{2r+2}(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r)) = 0$. We have now shown that

$$TH^i(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r)) = 0 \text{ for all } i$$

and so

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} H^i(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))\hat{} \simeq H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r)) \\ U(H^i(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r)) \text{ is uniquely divisible} \end{array}\right. \quad \text{for all } i.$$

In particular, we have proved the first statement of the theorem except that each group $H^i(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))'$ has been replaced by its completion. It remains to prove that $H^i(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))'$ is finite for $i \neq 2r, 2r+1$ and is finitely generated for $i = 2r, 2r+1$ (for then $H^i(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))\hat{} \simeq H^i(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))' \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$).

The maps $H^i(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))' \to H^i(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))\hat{}$ are injective, and so $H^i(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))'$ is finite for $i \neq 2r, 2r+1$.

We next show that the groups $H^{2r}(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))'$ and $H^{2r+1}(X_{\text{Wét}}, \mathbb{Z}(r))'$ are finitely generated. For this we shall need one last property of $\mathbb{Z}(r)$.

(C). There exist pairings

$$\mathbb{Z}(r)\otimes^{L}\mathbb{Z}(s)\to\mathbb{Z}(r+s)$$

compatible (via $(\mathrm{A})_n$) with the natural pairings

$$\mu_n^{\otimes r}\times\mu_n^{\otimes s}\to\mu_n^{\otimes r+s},\quad \gcd(n,p)=1;$$

moreover, there exists a trace map $H^{2d+1}(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(d))\to\mathbb{Z}$ compatible (via $(\mathrm{A})_n$) with the usual trace map in étale cohomology.

For a fixed prime $l\neq p$, these pairings give rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{2r}(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(r))'/\mathrm{tors} \arrow[d] & \times & H^{2d-2r+1}(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(d-r))'/\mathrm{tors} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[d] \\
H^{2r}(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}_l(r))/\mathrm{tors} & \times & H^{2d-2r+1}(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}_l(d-r))/\mathrm{tors} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}_l
\end{tikzcd}$$

to which we wish to apply Proposition 6. The bottom pairing is nondegenerate,

$$U(H^{2r}(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(r))')=0$$

by Corollary 4, and the group $H^{2d-2r+1}(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(d-r))^{(l)}$ is finite, and so the Proposition shows that $H^{2r}(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(r))'/\mathrm{tors}$ is finitely generated. Because $U(H^{2r}(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(r))')=0$, the torsion subgroup of $H^{2r}(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(r))'$ injects into the torsion subgroup of $H^{2r}(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r))$, which is finite (Gabber 1983). Hence $H^{2r}(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(r))'$ is finitely generated. The group $H^{2r+1}(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(r))'$ can be treated similarly. □

REMARK 8. In the proof, we didn't use the full force of $T^r(X)$, but only that $T^r(X,l)$ and $S^r(X,l)$ hold for all primes l.

We shall need the following standard result.

LEMMA 9. *Let A be a (noncommutative) ring and let $\bar{A}$ be the quotient of A by a nil ideal I (i.e., a two-sided ideal in which every element is nilpotent). Then:*

(a) *an element of A is invertible if it maps to an invertible element of $\bar{A}$;*

(b) *every idempotent in $\bar{A}$ lifts to an idempotent in A, and any two liftings are conjugate by an element of A lying over $1_{\bar{A}}$;*

(c) *let $a\in A$; every decomposition of $\bar{a}$ into a sum of orthogonal idempotents in $\bar{A}$ lifts to a similar decomposition of a in A.*

PROOF. We denote $a+I$ by $\bar{a}$.

(a) It suffices to consider an element a such that $\bar{a}=1_{\bar{A}}$. Then $(1-a)^N=0$ for some $N>0$, and so

$$\overbrace{(1-(1-a))}^{a}\left(1+(1-a)+(1-a)^2+\cdots+(1-a)^{N-1}\right)=1.$$

(b) Let a be an element of A such that $\bar{a}$ is idempotent. Then $(a-a^2)^N=0$ for some $N>0$, and we let $a'=(1-(1-a)^N)^N$. A direct calculation shows that $a'a'=a'$ and that $\bar{a'}=\bar{a}$.

Let e and e' be idempotents in A such that $\bar{e}=\bar{e'}$. Then $a\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=}e'e+(1-e')(1-e)$ lies above $1_{\bar{A}}$ and satisfies $e'a=e'e=ae$.

(c) Follows easily from (b). □

THEOREM 10. *Let X be a smooth projective variety over a finite field such that the ideal of l-homologically trivial correspondences in* $\mathrm{CH}^{\dim X}(X\times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *is nil for some prime l (e.g., X is an abelian variety), and let $r\in\mathbb{N}$. Then $H^i(X_{\mathrm{et}},\mathbb{Z}(r))$ is torsion for all $i\neq 2r$. If $T^r(X)$ holds, then $H^{2r}(X_{\mathrm{et}},\mathbb{Z}(r))\approx\mathbb{Z}^{\rho_r}$ modulo torsion.*

PROOF. This is essentially proved in Jannsen 2007, pp131–132, and so we only sketch the argument. Set $d=\dim X$ and let $q=[k]$.

According to Lemma 9c, there exist orthogonal idempotents $\pi_0,\ldots,\pi_{2d}$ in $\mathrm{CH}^{\dim X}(X\times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ lifting the Künneth components of the diagonal in the l-adic topology. Let $h^iX=(hX,\pi_i)$. Let $P_i(T)$ be the characteristic polynomial $\det(T-\varpi_X|H^i(\bar{X}_{\mathrm{et}},\mathbb{Q}_l)$ of the Frobenius endomorphism ϖ_X of X acting on $H^i(\bar{X}_{\mathrm{et}},\mathbb{Q}_l)$. Then $P_i(\varpi_X)$ acts as zero $h^i_{\mathrm{hom}}X$, and so $P_i(\varpi_X)^N$ acts as zero on h^iX for some $N\geq 1$. But ϖ_X acts as multiplication by q^r on $K_{2r-i}(X)^{(r)}\simeq H^i(X_{\mathrm{et}},\mathbb{Q}(r))$ where $K_{2r-i}(X)^{(r)}$ is the subspace of $K_{2r-i}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on which the nth Adams operator acts as n^r for all r. Therefore $H^i(X_{\mathrm{et}},\mathbb{Q}(r))$ is killed by $P_i(q^r)^N$, which is nonzero for $i\neq 2r$ (by the Weil conjectures).

Tate's conjecture implies that $P_{2r}(T)=Q(T)\cdot(T-q^r)^{\rho_r}$ where $Q(q^r)\neq 0$. As before, $P_{2r}(\omega_X)^N$ acts as zero on $h^{2r}X$ for some $N\geq 1$. Now

$$1=q(T)Q(T)^N+p(T)(T-q^r)^{N\rho_r},\quad\text{some } q(T),\ p(T)\in\mathbb{Q}[T].$$

Therefore $q(\varpi_X)Q(\varpi_X)^N$ and $p(\varpi_X)(\varpi_X-q^r)^{N\rho_r}$ are orthogonal idempotents in $\mathrm{End}(h^{2r}X)$ with sum 1, and correspondingly $h^{2r}X=M_1\oplus M_2$. Now $H^{2r}(M_1,\mathbb{Q}(r))=0$ because $Q(\varpi_X)^N$ is zero on M_1 and $Q(q^r)\neq 0$. On the other hand, M_2 is isogenous to $(\mathbb{L}^{\otimes r})^{\rho_r}$ (Jannsen 2007, p132), and so $H^{2r}(M_2,\mathbb{Z}(r))$ differs from

$$H^{2r}(\mathbb{L}^{\otimes r},\mathbb{Z}(r))^{\rho_r}\simeq H^{2r}(\mathbb{P}^d,\mathbb{Z}(r))^{\rho_r}\simeq\mathbb{Z}^{\rho_r}$$

by a torsion group. □

THEOREM 11. *Let X be a smooth projective variety over a finite field such that the ideal of l-homologically trivial correspondences in* $\mathrm{CH}^{\dim X}(X\times X)$ *is nil for some l (e.g., X is an abelian variety). If the Tate conjecture $T^r(X)$ holds, then $\chi(X_{W\acute{e}t},\mathbb{Z}(r))$ is defined, and*

$$\zeta(X,s)\sim\pm\chi(X_{W\acute{e}t},\mathbb{Z}(r))\cdot q^{\chi(X,\mathscr{O}_X,r)}\cdot(1-q^{r-s})^{-\rho_r}\text{ as } s\to r. \tag{6}$$

In particular, the groups $H^i(X_{W\acute{e}t},\mathbb{Z}(r))$ are finite for $i\neq 2r,2r+1$. For $i=2r,2r+1$, they are finitely generated.

PROOF. We have to show that the groups $U^i\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=}U(H^i(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(r)))$ are zero. If a Γ_0-module M is finitely generated modulo torsion, then so also are its cohomology groups $H^i(\Gamma_0,M)$ (see (2)). Since the groups $H^i(\bar{X}_{\mathrm{et}},\mathbb{Z}(r))$ are finitely generated modulo torsion (10), the spectral sequence (1) shows that the groups $H^i(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(r))$ are also finitely generated modulo torsion. In particular, $H^i(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(r))$ does not contain a nonzero $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space, and so $U^i=0$.

Alternative proof for $i=2r$. The hypotheses imply that the composite of the maps

$$\mathrm{CH}^r(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}_l\xrightarrow{a}H^{2r}(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(r))\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}_l\xrightarrow{b}H^{2r}(X_{\mathrm{et}},\mathbb{Q}_l(r))$$

is an isomorphism (Kimura 2005, 7.6; Jannsen 2007, 6.1.4). The kernel of b is $U^{2r}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{Q}_l$, and the map a is an isomorphism (see below; not quite). It follows that $U^{2r}=0$, and so $H^{2r}(X_{\mathrm{W\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{Z}(r))$ is finitely generated. □

References

FUCHS, L. 1970. Infinite abelian groups. Vol. I. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 36. Academic Press, New York.

GABBER, O. 1983. Sur la torsion dans la cohomologie l-adique d'une variété. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 297:179–182.

GEISSER, T. 2005. Motivic cohomology, K-theory and topological cyclic homology, pp. 193–234. *In* Handbook of K-theory. Vol. 1, 2. Springer, Berlin.

GEISSER, T. AND LEVINE, M. 2000. The K-theory of fields in characteristic p. *Invent. Math.* 139:459–493.

GEISSER, T. AND LEVINE, M. 2001. The Bloch-Kato conjecture and a theorem of Suslin-Voevodsky. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 530:55–103.

JANNSEN, U. 2007. On finite-dimensional motives and Murre's conjecture, pp. 112–142. *In* Algebraic cycles and motives. Vol. 2, volume 344 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.

KAPLANSKY, I. 1954. Infinite abelian groups. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor.

KIMURA, S.-I. 2005. Chow groups are finite dimensional, in some sense. *Math. Ann.* 331:173–201.

LICHTENBAUM, S. 2005. The Weil-étale topology on schemes over finite fields. *Compos. Math.* 141:689–702.

MILNE, J. S. 1986. Values of zeta functions of varieties over finite fields. *Amer. J. Math.* 108:297–360. Available on the author's website.

MILNE, J. S. 1988. Motivic cohomology and values of zeta functions. *Compositio Math.* 68:59–100, 101–102.

TATE, J. T. 1994. Conjectures on algebraic cycles in l-adic cohomology, pp. 71–83. *In* Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), volume 55 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

VOEVODSKY, V. 2002. Motivic cohomology groups are isomorphic to higher Chow groups in any characteristic. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* pp. 351–355.